TECHNICAL NOTE

Bruce Budowle,¹ Ph.D. and Anne M. Gambel,¹ B.S.

A Hybrid Ampholyte Focusing Technique for Esterase D Subtyping of Evidentiary Material

REFERENCE: Budowle, B. and Gambel, A. M., "A Hybrid Ampholyte Focusing Technique for Esterase D Subtyping of Evidentiary Material," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, JFSCA, Vol. 33, No. 3, May 1988, pp. 738-743.

ABSTRACT: An ultrathin-layer polyacrylamide gel isoelectric focusing technique that uses a composite of ampholytes from three commercial sources is described for subtyping esterase D. All common allelic products of esterase D were separated clearly. The technique described in this paper provides a higher conclusive call rate on known blood specimens (95.8%) and questioned bloodstains (69.7%) compared with continuous zone electrophoresis in agarose gels (89.9 and 37.6%, respectively).

KEYWORDS: forensic science, esterase D, genetic typing, isoelectric focusing, bloodstains, ultrathin-layer polyacrylamide gel isoelectric focusing, agarose gel continuous zone electrophoresis, ampholytes, evidentiary material

Esterase D (EsD; E.C. 3.1.1.1) is an erythrocyte-borne polymorphic enzyme used for the characterization of liquid blood and bloodstained materials. Continuous zone electrophoresis (CZE) of EsD results in three common phenotypes (1, 2-1, and 2) which are governed by two autosomal codominant alleles, designated EsD 1 and EsD 2 [1]. Martin [2], using high voltage agarose gel CZE, discovered that the EsD2 allele could be subtyped into an additional allele, EsD5. The capability of detecting the EsD5 allelic product increased the discriminating probability of EsD from 0.35 to 0.43 in Caucasians [3]. However, the high voltage agarose CZE approach did not separate clearly the EsD2 and EsD5 allelic products. Subsequently, several investigators used isoelectric focusing (IEF) in an attempt to increase the separation distance between the EsD2 and EsD5 allelic products could be readily distinguished, but the EsD1 and EsD2 allelic products could not be differentiated clearly. Thus, reliable typing of EsD subtypes required that samples be analyzed by both CZE and IEF.

In 1984, Divall [9] and Budowle [10] reported on IEF methods for resolving the six subtypes of EsD. Since then, additional IEF techniques have been developed for typing the

This is publication 87-8 of the Laboratory Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Names of commercial manufacturers are provided for identification only and inclusion does not imply endorsement by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Received for publication 22 June 1987; revised manuscript received 23 July 1987; accepted for publication 27 July 1987.

¹Research chemist and scientist, respectively, Forensic Science Research and Training Section, FBI Academy, Quantico, VA.

complete set of common EsD phenotypes [11-16]. Budowle [10, 16] has developed two ultrathin-layer polyacrylamide gel isoelectric focusing (ULPAGIF) techniques for subtyping EsD. The first approach used Serva ampholytes (pH 4.5 to 5.0 and 5.0 to 5.5; 1:3.4) [10]. This method yielded good separation of all common EsD allelic products, but EsD1 bands were somewhat broader than would be expected for a ULPAGIF technique (see Ref 10). In contrast, the ULPAGIF procedure with Pharmacia ampholytes (pH 4.5 to 5.4) [16] produced zymograms with sharp bands but resulted in a decreased separation distance of the EsD1 and EsD2 allelic products. Thus, the EsD2 band and the middle dimer band of an EsD 2-1 phenotype could not be resolved.

This technical note describes a hybrid ampholyte ULPAGIF method that produces zymograms with sharp, linear band patterns and resolves all common allelic products of EsD.

Materials and Methods

Blood samples were obtained from 20 donors of known phenotype at the FBI Academy by finger prick. In addition, 386 known liquid bloods and 221 questioned dried bloodstains deposited on a variety of strata submitted to the FBI Laboratory were analyzed. Bloodstains were prepared as described previously [17].

Cuttings of the stains (2 by 5 mm) were extracted in 20 to 40 uL of 0.05M dithiothreitol for 30 min. The extracts were absorbed into 10-by 5-mm applicator tabs (LKB), lightly blotted, and applied 1 cm from the cathode.

Polyacrylamide gels (5% T, 3% C, 125 by 110 by 0.2 mm) were cast using the flap technique [18, 19]. The gels contained the synthetic carrier ampholytes pH 4.5 to 5.0 (Serva), pH 5.0 to 5.5 (Serva), pH 4.5 to 5.4 (Pharmacia), and pH 4 to 6 (LKB) in a ratio of 1.1:3.9:5:1. The total ampholyte concentration in the gel was 4% (w/v). The catholyte and anolyte were 0.20M sodium hydroxide and saturated L-aspartic acid, respectively. The distance between the electrodes was 9.5 cm. The ULPAGIF was performed on the Ultrophor (LKB) at 4°C using the conditions outlined in Table 1. After ULPAGIF, the EsD zymograms were developed according to the method of Hopkinson et al. [1].

For comparative purposes, EsD subtyping also was performed as described previously [10, 16], and the anolyte was either saturated L-aspartic acid or 0.05M phosphoric acid.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows that the allelic products of EsD in bloodstains can be separated clearly without distortion by hybrid ampholyte ULPAGIF. The bands are sharp and linear, and the

Comments ^a	Initial Voltage	Time, min	
Prefocus	250	25	
Apply samples 1 cm from cathode, adjust settings	500	10	
Adjust settings	1100	10	
Remove sample tabs, adjust settings	1500	10	
Adjust settings	2000	10	
Adjust settings	2500	10	
Adjust settings	3000	10	

 TABLE 1—Runnings conditions for EsD subtyping on hybrid ampholyte

 ultrathin-layer polyacrylamide gels.

"Always focus with constant power; adjust power to obtain voltage given in table (see Refs 17 and 20).



FIG. 1—A zymogram of EsD subtypes derived from bloodstains. The phenotypes from left to right are: 1, 2-1, 1, 1, 1, 5-1, 5-2, 2, 5-1, 5-2, 5-1, and 1. The cathode is at the top.

middle dimer band of the EsD 2-1 phenotype and the EsD2 band are resolved distinctly. Thus, this hybrid ampholyte gel method combined the positive aspects of both the Servalyt [10] and the Pharmalyte [16] ULPAGIF techniques.

Table 2 displays the effects that different ampholyte compositions and different anolytes can have on the separation distances of the EsD allelic products. The hybrid ampholyte gel (F) separated the EsD1 and EsD5 bands by 9.0 cm and EsD1 and EsD2 bands by 3.0 cm. The separation distance of the EsD1 and EsD2 bands was further than was possible using Gels A (Pharmalyte, no BES [N, N-bis (2-hydroxyethyl)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid]) and B (Pharmalyte and BES), and the EsD2-1 and EsD2 bands were separated clearly. Gel F also yielded zymograms with sharper, more linear band patterns than Gels D and E. While Gel C gave separation results comparable to Gel F, this approach is not recommended. As reported previously [16, 20], a phosphoric acid anolyte at times can produce wavy, distorted patterns in gels containing Pharmalyte. Although Gel C produced good results in terms of separation distances of the EsD allelic products, reproducible linear patterns were not obtainable. The comparison of Gels D and E also suggests that using phosphoric acid as the anolyte can have a positive effect on the separation of the EsD allelic products. These observations support the findings of McCormick et al. [21] who suggested that different electrolytes can have varying effects on flattening the pH gradient.

Over a 2-month period, 386 known liquid blood specimens and 221 questioned dried bloodstains obtained from cases submitted to the FBI Laboratory were typed by ULPAGIF and agarose gel CZE [22]. The results are shown in Table 3. There was a slight increase in the number of conclusive calls for known blood samples using ULPAGIF (95.8%) compared with CZE (89.9%). However, there was a dramatic increase in conclusive determinations on questioned bloodstains (69.7 versus 37.6%). There were no phenotypic discrepancies between the two electrophoretic methods. The data is similar to that reported by Divall [11]. The greater success rate by ULPAGIF can be attributed to the concentrating effect of IEF, which provided more readily distinguishable patterns and greater sensitivity of detection.

•	0			
		Separation D Bet	istance in mm ween	
Ampholyte	Anolyte	EsD1 and EsD5	EsD1 and EsD2	Comments
(A) pH 4.5 to 5.4 (Pharmalyte)	aspartic acid	0.6	1.0	
(B) pH 4.5 to 5.4 (Pharmalyte) plus BES	aspartic acid	10.0	1.5	separate effectively linear, sharp bands, separator improves separation
(C) pH 4.5 to 5.4				distances but EsD2 and EsD2-1 bands do not separate
(Pharmalyte)	phosphoric acid	11.0	3.0	sharp bands with good separation; but phosphoric acid will yield undesirable waw natterus
(D) pH 4.5 to 5.0 and pH 5.0 to 5.5 (1:3.4)			c t	
(Servalyt)	phosphoric acid	0.11	0./	resolves all ESD bands; ESD1 band is broad; patterns can appear scalloped
(E) pH 4.5 to 5.0 and pH 5.0 to 5.5 (1:3.4)				
(Servalyt)	aspartic acid	10.0	5.0	Same as D; demonstrates flattening effect of anolyte
(F) hybrid ampholyte gel described in materials				
and methods	aspartic acid	9.0	3.0	linear, sharp bands resolves all EsD bands

TABLE 2-Comparison of gels containing different ampholyte compositions and different electrolytes.

742 JOURNAL OF FORENSIC SCIENCES

		Conclusive		Inconclusive		No Activity	
Samples	No.	ULPAGIF	CZE	ULPAGIF	CZE	ULPAGIF	CZE
Known bloods	386	370	347	12	35	4	4
Questioned dried bloodstains	221	154	83	33	79	34	59

TABLE 3—Casework evaluation of EsD typing comparing ULPAGIF and CZE methods.

Conclusion

In conclusion, a method for subtyping EsD in evidentiary material which offers an improvement over the currently used technique has been described. The technique is reproducible, sensitive, and simple. A hybrid ampholyte gel provided a system for analyzing EsD with the positive qualities of the Servalyt and Pharmalyte gels. The EsD zymograms displayed sharp, linear bands, and all allelic products were resolved clearly. This procedure has been implemented in the FBI Laboratory.

References

- [1] Hopkinson, D. A., Mestriner, M. A., Cortner, J., and Harris, H., "Esterase D: A New Polymorphism," Annals of Human Genetics, Vol. 37, 1973, pp. 119-137.
- [2] Martin, W., "Neue Elektrophorescmethoden zun Darstellung von Serum-and Enzympolymorphismen: Technische Verbesserungen Hinweis auf weiteres EsD-Allel," Aerztliche Laboratorium, Vol. 25, 1979, pp. 65-67.
- [3] Budowle, B., Sundaram, S., and Wenk, R., "Population Data on the Forensic Genetic Markers: Phosphoglucomutase-1, Esterase D, Erythrocyte Acid Phosphatase and Glyoxalase I," Forensic Science International, Vol. 28, 1985, pp. 77-81.
- [4] Olaisen, B., Siverts, A., Jonassen, R., Mevag, B., and Gedde-Dahl, T., "The EsD Polymorphism: Further Studies of the EsD2 and EsD5 Allele Products," *Human Genetics*, Vol. 57, 1981, pp. 351-353.
- [5] Dykes, D. D., Polesky, H. F., and Miller, S., "Frequency of the EsD5 Allele in Three Ethnic Groups in Minnesota," *Human Genetics*, Vol. 62, 1982, pp. 162-163.
- [6] White, J. M., "Recognition of the EsD5 Allele by a Combination of Conventional Agarose Gel Electrophoresis and Isoelectric Focusing," *Journal of the Forensic Science Society*, Vol. 23, 1983, p. 81.
- [7] Nishigaki, I. and Itoh, T., "Isoelectric Focusing Studies of Human Red Cell Esterase D: Evidence for Polymorphic Occurrence of a New Allele EsD7 in Japanese," *Human Genetics*, Vol. 66, 1984, pp. 92–95.
- [8] White, J. M., "An Evaluation of EsD Typing by Isoelectric Focusing," Journal of the Forensic Science Society, Vol. 24, 1984, pp. 177-178.
- [9] Divall, G. B., "The Esterase D Polymorphism as Revealed by Isoelectric Focusing in Ultrathin Polyacrylamide Gels," Forensic Science International, Vol. 26, 1984, pp. 255-267.
- [10] Budowle, B., "Typing of Esterase D by Isoelectric Focusing," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 5, 1984, pp. 314-316.
- [11] Divall, G. B., "Esterase D Typing of Bloodstains by Non-Equilibrium Focusing," Forensic Science International, Vol. 28, 1985, pp. 277-285.
- [12] Finney, S. T., Renshaw, N. A., and Werrett, D. J., "The Use of Isoelectric Focusing (IEF) as a Method for the Combined Phenotyping of Erythrocyte Acid Phosphatase (EAP) and Esterase D (EsD)," Forensic Science International, Vol. 27, 1985, pp. 237-245.
- [13] Gill, P., "Improved Esterase D Typing on Ultrathin Isoelectric Focusing Gels Using Narrow Interval Pharmalyte Carrier Ampholytes (pH 4.5-5.4) in Conjunction with a Separator and a Thickness Modified pH Gradient," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 6, 1985, pp. 552-555.
- [14] Yuasa, I., Tamaki, N., Inoue, T., and Okada, K., "Esterase D Phenotyping of Bloodstains and Hair Roots by Low Voltage Isoelectric Focusing," Forensic Science International, Vol. 28, 1985, pp. 63-67.
- [15] Yuasa, I., Tamaki, N., Suenaga, K., Ito, K., Inoue, T., and Okada, K., "Reliable Phenotyping of

Esterase D by Low Voltage Isoelectric Focusing: Evidence for the New Variant EsD Yamaguchi," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 6, 1985, pp. 588-592.

- [16] Budowle, B., "Subtyping Group-Specific Component or Esterase D Using the Same Ultrathin-Layer Polyacrylamide Gel Format," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 7, 1986, pp. 141-144.
- [17] Budowle, B., "Phosphoglucomutase-1 Subtyping of Human Bloodstains on Ultrathin-Layer Polyacrylamide Gels," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 5, 1984, pp. 165-167.
- [18] Allen, R. C., "Rapid Isoelectric Focusing and Detection of Nanogram Amounts of Proteins from Body Tissues and Fluids," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 1, 1980, pp. 32-37.
- [19] Radola, B. J., "Ultrathin-Layer Isoelectric Focusing in 50-100 um Polyacrylamide Gels on Silanized Glass Plates or Polyester Films," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 1, 1980, pp. 43-56.
- [20] Budowle, B., "Transferrin Subtypes Determined by Ultrathin-Layer Polyacrylamide Gel Isoelectric Focusing," *Electrophoresis*, Vol. 6, 1985, pp. 97-99.
- [21] McCormick, A., Miles, L. E., and Chrambach, A., "Selective Elution of Zones from Preparative Isoelectric Focusing Gels by Ampholytes on Buffers," *Analytical Biochemistry*. Vol. 75, 1976, pp. 314-324.
- [22] Budowle, B., "An Agarose Gel Electrophoretic Method for Typing Phosphoglucomutase-1, Esterase D, or Glyoxalase 1," Journal of Forensic Sciences, Vol. 30, No. 4, Oct. 1985, pp. 1216-1220.

Address requests for reprints or additional information to Bruce Budowle, Ph.D. Forensic Science Research and Training Section FBI Academy Quantico, VA 22135